UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,413	03/24/2004	, Taichiroo Konno	035532-0140	3864	
22428 FOLEY AND	7590 07/10/2007 ND LARDNER LLP EXAMINER			INER	
SUITE 500			MONDT, JOHANNES P		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3663		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/807,413	KONNO ET AL.	KONNO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Johannes P. Mondt	3663		

	Johannes P. Mondt	3663	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF			*
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or			andonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af vtice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLT WAS F	ILED MITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)		ite of the final rejection,	even ii tiineiy nieu,
NOTICE OF APPEAL	•		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		I E below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	)W); *** form for annual by materially re	duaina ar aimplifuina	the issues for
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	lile issues ioi
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jootoa olaimio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
		inpliant / inchament	(1 102 02 1).
		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) wivided below or appended.	iii de entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-11,13,15,17,19 and 21-23.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12,14,16,18 and	<u>20</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a North of the affidation of th	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,	
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	, , , , , , ,		
		Primary Patent Ex	aminer:
		THE .	7/7/07 Art Unit 3663)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant substantially amended all claims to the extent not cancelled in this proposed amendment. Therefore, further consideration and/or search would be required. Furthermore, the independent claim 1 no longer appears to read on the elected invention, at least because the upper limit for the Al concentration (0.43) only appears to be disclosed only in the eleventh embodiment, and not in the elected first embodiment. Because the proposed claims have never been examined and do not appear to read on the elected invention the amendments are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal at all ...